

Estimation of heterosis for quality traits in rice

PK Bhati*, SK Singh, Rajesh Singh and Amita Sharma

Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221005, (U.P.) India

*Email: bhatipradeep5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were studied in 32 hybrids generated by crossing 16 pollen parents with two CMS lines used as female parent. Result indicated that Out of 32 hybrids, five hybrids viz., IR 79156A x IET22228, IR 79156A x Danteswari, IR 79156A x IET 22202, IR 79156A x Sarjoo-52 and Pusa 6A x Akshaya Dhan exhibited significant desirable heterosis over better parent, standard variety and standard hybrid for most of the quality traits viz., hulling recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, kernel length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking, kernel breadth after cooking, elongation ratio, alkali spread value and amylose content. Top two crosses for quality traits on the basis of significant positive standard heterosis over SV and SH were IR 79156A x IET22228 and IR 79156A x Danteswari. Cross combination, IR 79156A x IET 22228 showed significant negative standard heterosis over better parent (-22.61%), Standard variety (-3.60%) and Standard hybrid (-23.45%) for kernel breadth after cooking and average heterosis for kernel breadth whereas IR 79156A x Danteswari was found very low for quality traits viz., kernel breadth, kernel breadth after cooking.

Key words: Heterosis, *Oryza sativa* L., rice hybrid, WA-CMS and quality traits

Rice is one of the most important staple crops of India. It contributes to total food grain and cereal production of the country to nearly 43% and 46% respectively (Bhati *et al.*, 2014). The productivity of rice has now stagnated. The present world rice area, production and productivity is 161.6 mha, 480.7 mt and 2.97 t/ha, respectively. In India, it is being grown in 44.00 mha area with production of 106.0 mt and productivity of 2.41 t/ha It contributes 25% to agricultural GDP (USDA, Rice Outlook, 2014). Hybrid rice technology is one of the most practically feasible tools to break the yield barriers. In China, the first hybrid rice variety for commercial cultivation was released by Yuan Long Ping in 1976. India also started this programme in 1989 and released 65 hybrid rice varieties till 2014 (Singh *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the present study was undertaken to assess the hybrids for *per se* performance and heterosis with respect to quality traits to identify best hybrid combinations for commercial utilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material consisting of 32 F₁ hybrids obtained by line x tester mating along with their 16 pollen parent, 2 maintainer lines of IR79156A and Pusa6A and 2 checks (BPT 5204 and Arize 6444) were grown in single row of 3.0 m with three replications in Randomized Block Design with spacing of 20 x 15 cm² during wet season 2013 at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India by adopting standard agronomic practices. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants for estimation of magnitude of heterosis with respect to quality traits viz., hulling recovery (%), milling recovery (%), head rice recovery (%), kernel length (mm), kernel breadth (mm), kernel length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking (mm), kernel breadth after cooking (mm), kernel elongation ratio, alkali spread value and amylose content (%). The character means of each replication was subjected for analysis of variance (Panse and Sukhatme,

1967) and estimation of heterosis over better parent, standard variety and standard hybrid (Fonseca and Patterson, 1968).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

All crosses showed marked variations in the expression of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for quality traits Table1. Heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were studied in 32 hybrids generated by crossing 16 pollen parent with two CMS lines used as female parent. Result indicated that Out of 32 hybrids , five hybrids viz., IR 79156A x IET22228, IR 79156A x Danteswari, IR 79156A x IET 22202, IR 79156A x Sarjoo-52 and Pusa 6A x Akshaya Dhan were found significant desirable heterosis over better parent, standard variety and standard hybrid for most of the quality traits viz., hulling recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, kernel length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking, kernel breadth after cooking, elongation ratio, alkali spread value and amylose content. Top two crosses for quality traits on the basis of significant positive standard heterosis over SV and SH were IR 79156A x IET22228 and IR 79156A x Danteswari. Cross combination, IR 79156A x IET 22228 showed significant negative standard heterosis over better parent (-22.61%), Standard variety (-3.60%) and Standard hybrid (-23.45%) for kernel

breadth after cooking and average heterosis for kernel breadth whereas IR 79156A x Danteswari was found very low for quality traits viz., kernel breadth, kernel breadth after cooking. The present study showed that superior performance for all characters was not expressed in a single hybrid combination. However, different cross combinations were found to be superior for various quality characters. These finding are in accordance with those of Sharma and Roy (1996), Singh and Haque (1999), Binodh *et al* (2006), Eradasappa *et al* (2007), Saravanan *et al* (2008), Vaithiyalingan and Nadarajan (2010), Adilakshmi and Reddy (2011), Krishna *et al* (2011) Kumar *et al* (2012), Singh *et al*. (2013) and Sharma *et al* (2013).

The present study suggests that the top heterotic crosses for quality characters, IR 79156A x IET22228 and IR 79156A x Danteswari may further be tested for the development of superior rice hybrids.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial support for this study was provided by Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, Government of India as a DST-INSPIRE Fellowship (INSPIRE Code IF-20350) for full-time doctoral (Ph.D.) degree

Table 1. Anova for L x T analysis for different quality characters in rice

Source of Variations	df	Hulling recovery (%)	Milling recovery (%)	HRR (%)	Kernel Length (mm)	Kernel Breadth (mm)	Kernel L/B Ratio	KLAC (mm)	KBAC (mm)	ER	ASV	AC (%)
Replicates	2	11.52*	1.41	0.33	1.93	0.01	0.46	0.07	0.01	0.11	0.32	0.56
Treatments	49	44.98**	46.53**	40.17**	3.56**	0.08**	1.21**	9.06**	0.32**	0.21**	5.15**	17.55**
Parents	17	37.32**	42.96**	24.90**	2.48**	0.06**	0.92**	3.22**	0.30**	0.14*	6.78**	4.87**
Parents (Line)	1	40.50**	23.48*	11.01	0.00	0.03	0.20	2.88	0.00	0.05	6.00**	4.16*
Parents (Testers)	15	38.91**	42.15**	27.25**	2.74**	0.04*	0.74**	3.10**	0.33**	0.16**	6.72**	5.23**
Parents (L vs T)	1	10.18	74.44**	3.48	1.05	0.45**	4.36**	6.32*	0.19*	0.00	8.61**	0.08
Parents vs Crosses	1	1.15	0.06	15.83	27.51**	0.15*	4.85**	126.02**	0.07	0.17	5.25**	252.20**
Crosses	31	50.59**	49.98**	49.34**	3.39**	0.09**	1.24**	8.47**	0.33**	0.25**	4.25**	16.94**
Error	98	3.11	4.92	4.72	0.71	0.02	0.20	0.67	0.04	0.05	0.40	0.83
Total	149	16.99	18.56	16.32	1.66	0.04	0.54	3.42	0.13	0.10	1.96	6.33

* Significant at 5% level and ** significant at 1% level

Table 2. Estimates of *per se* performance, heterobeltois and standard heterosis for different quality characters in 32 hybrids of rice

Characters	Hulling Recovery			Milling Recovery			Head Rice Recovery			Kernel Length (mm)		
	Mean	BP	BPT	Arize	Mean	BP	BPT	Arize	Mean	BP	BPT	Arize
Crosses												
	5204	6444	6444	5204	6444	5204	6444	5204	6444	5204	6444	6444
IR 79156A x	77.98	3.50	1.12	2.54	70.75	0.28	-1.15	-2.38	62.72	1.60	5.41	0.13
IET 21519												
IR 79156A x	78.23	3.84*	1.45	2.87	69.50	-2.26	-2.89	-4.10	57.97	-6.81*	-2.57	-7.45*
IET 22218												
IR 79156A x	83.85	9.83**	8.73**	10.26**	74.50	5.60*	4.10	2.80	61.36	1.68	3.13	-2.03
IET 22228												
IR 79156A x	80.89	7.36**	4.89*	6.36**	72.51	2.78	1.32	0.05	63.68	8.97**	7.04*	1.68
IET 22202												
IR 79156A x	77.23	-2.20	0.15	1.56	68.68	-2.65	-4.03	-5.23*	54.44	-7.49*	-8.50**	-13.09**
IET 20524												
IR 79156A x	79.69	4.12*	3.33	4.78*	70.58	-1.01	-1.38	-2.62	55.32	-10.35**	-7.01	-11.67**
IET 21542												
IR 79156A x	76.64	1.73	-0.61	0.78	72.66	2.99	1.52	0.25	58.64	0.34	-1.43	-6.37*
Vardhan												
IR 79156A x	72.65	-8.15**	-5.79**	-4.47*	65.51	-7.15**	-8.47**	-9.61**	48.87	-17.67**	-17.86**	-21.97**
Akshaya Dhan												
IR 79156A x	83.11	5.42**	7.77**	9.28**	73.29	3.88	2.40	1.12	61.93	5.96	4.08	-1.13
Rajendra Kasturi												
IR 79156A x	70.68	-12.72**	-8.35**	-7.06**	61.50	-16.08**	-14.07**	-15.14**	52.43	-17.24**	-11.87**	-16.29**
Sarjoo- 52												
IR 79156A x	74.26	-2.56	-3.71	-2.36	67.61	-4.17	-5.53*	-6.71**	57.28	-2.00	-3.73	-8.55**
HUR-8-1												
IR 79156A x	78.81	2.13	2.20	3.63	71.36	-0.66	-0.28	-1.53	58.26	-2.26	-2.07	-6.98*
BPT 5204												
IR 79156A x	66.32	-17.41**	-14.00**	-12.80**	61.70	-15.40**	-13.79**	-14.87**	51.15	-16.51**	-14.03**	-18.34**
RPBIO-226												
IR 79156A x	69.28	-12.04**	-10.17**	-8.91**	65.46	-7.22**	-8.54**	-9.68**	51.32	-12.19**	-13.75**	-18.07**
Type-3												
IR 79156A x	79.60	-1.26	3.22	4.67*	75.84	4.28	5.97*	4.65	65.65	8.45**	10.34**	4.82
MTU-7029												
IR 79156A x	78.28	3.53	1.51	2.93	73.28	2.29	2.39	1.11	62.52	6.98*	5.09	-0.18
Danteswari												
Pusa 6A x	74.27	-7.79**	-3.70	-2.34	71.34	-4.25	-0.32	-1.57	60.44	-2.08	1.59	-3.50
IET 21519												
Pusa 6A x	73.14	-9.19**	-5.16**	-3.83	65.47	-12.13**	-8.52**	-9.66**	56.32	-9.46**	-5.34	-10.08**
IET 22218												
Pusa 6A x	76.33	-5.23**	-1.02	0.37	63.04	-15.39**	-11.91**	-13.02**	54.45	-10.97**	-8.49**	-13.07**
IET 22228												
Pusa 6A x	72.99	-9.38**	-5.36**	-4.03*	70.28	-5.67*	-1.79	-3.02	60.68	-0.77	1.99	-3.12
IET 22202												
Pusa 6A x	79.31	-1.53	2.84	4.28*	68.64	-7.87**	-4.09	-5.29*	60.16	-1.62	1.11	-3.95
IET 20524												
Pusa 6A x	71.47	-11.26**	-7.32**	-6.02**	61.75	-17.12**	-13.72**	-14.80**	54.69	-11.39**	-8.08**	-12.69**
IET 21542												
Pusa 6A x												

BPT 5204	2.11	28.66**	37.61**	15.72*	2.53	-41.31**	-17.75	-18.98	11.41	9.29	29.57**	3.95	2.94	-12.25*	9.31	-13.20*
IR 79156A x																
RPBIO-226	1.80	5.66	17.61*	-1.10	3.49	-19.15*	13.31	11.62	11.51	10.25	30.71**	4.86	2.72	-18.73**	1.24	-19.61**
IR 79156A x																
Type-3	2.33	25.09**	51.74**	27.61**	3.56	-17.45*	15.69	13.97	14.38	23.62**	63.31**	31.01**	3.40	-2.11	26.67**	0.59
IR 79156A x																
MTU-7029	1.90	-6.72	23.70**	4.02	3.97	-8.11	28.79*	26.87	13.47	29.10**	53.05**	22.78**	3.40	-6.50	26.67**	0.59
IR 79156A x																
Danteswari	1.75	-1.69	13.91	-4.20	4.10	-5.10	33.01**	31.02*	13.63	19.25**	54.79**	24.18**	3.46	3.49	28.91**	2.36
Pusa 6A x																
IET 21519	1.81	-5.39	18.26*	-0.55	3.52	-10.97	14.18	12.47	9.47	-19.04**	7.54	-13.73*	2.81	-17.27**	4.59	-16.95**
Pusa 6A x																
IET 22218	1.78	-7.45	16.09*	-2.38	4.85	22.78*	57.47**	55.12**	12.42	6.19	41.05**	13.15*	2.88	-16.05**	7.07	-14.98**
Pusa 6A x																
IET 22228	2.04	8.90	33.04**	11.88	3.97	-1.98	28.79**	26.87*	12.77	9.24	45.10**	16.40**	3.43	0.88	27.54**	1.28
Pusa 6A x																
IET 22202	1.94	7.17	26.74**	6.58	4.49	11.60	45.78**	43.60**	14.46	23.69**	64.29**	31.80**	3.39	-4.14	26.30**	0.30
Pusa 6A x																
IET 20524	2.06	-0.80	34.35**	12.98	3.09	-21.86*	0.22	-1.28	12.66	8.30	43.85**	15.40*	3.49	2.65	29.78**	3.05
Pusa 6A x																
IET 21542	2.04	-0.33	33.04**	11.88	3.68	-6.92	19.37	17.59	10.74	-8.12	22.04**	-2.10	3.29	-3.24	22.33**	-2.86
Pusa 6A x																
Vardhan	2.08	7.22	35.65**	14.08*	4.09	3.54	32.79**	30.81*	11.64	-0.48	32.18**	6.04	2.81	-17.17**	4.71	-16.85**
Pusa 6A x																
Akshaya Dhan	2.05	7.33	33.70**	12.43	3.83	-3.12	24.24**	22.39	13.40	14.57*	52.18**	22.08**	2.44	-28.26**	-9.31	-27.98**
Pusa 6A x																
Rajendra Kasturi	1.88	2.36	22.83**	3.29	3.19	-19.32*	3.46	1.92	8.26	-29.39**	-6.21	-24.76**	2.95	-13.25*	9.68	-12.91*
Pusa 6A x																
Sarjoo- 52	1.74	-13.72*	13.48	-4.57	3.25	-17.81*	5.41	3.84	9.01	-22.95**	2.35	-17.89**	3.38	-0.49	25.81**	-0.10
Pusa 6A x																
HUR-8-1	1.82	0.00	18.48*	-0.37	4.03	2.03	30.84**	28.89**	10.55	-9.75	19.88*	-3.83	3.29	-8.94	22.58**	-2.66
Pusa 6A x																
BPT 5204	1.84	10.18	20.00*	0.91	3.86	-2.19	25.43**	23.56	12.70	8.64	44.30**	15.77*	3.07	-9.72	14.14*	-9.36
Pusa 6A x																
RPBIO-226	1.72	0.98	12.39	-5.48	3.53	-10.55	14.72	13.01	11.96	2.25	35.82**	8.96**	3.15	-7.36	17.12*	-7.00
Pusa 6A x																
Type-3	1.77	-4.66	15.65	-2.74	3.71	-6.08	20.45	18.66	14.62	25.03**	66.07**	33.23**	3.66	5.37	36.35**	8.28
Pusa 6A x																
MTU-7029	1.84	-9.67	19.78*	0.73	3.80	-3.88	23.27	21.43	13.90	18.90**	57.93**	26.70**	3.11	-14.47**	15.88*	-7.98
Pusa 6A x																
Danteswari	1.86	4.69	21.30*	2.01	3.94	-0.17	28.03*	26.12*	14.49	23.92**	64.60**	32.05**	3.68	8.24	36.85**	8.67
Mean	1.93	2.02	25.27	5.35	3.70	-10.25	20.25	18.45	12.00	7.47	36.35	9.38	3.16	-7.83	17.46	-6.72
S. E.		0.12	0.12	0.12		0.37	0.37	0.37		0.66	0.66	0.66		0.18	0.18	0.18
Range	1.67	-13.72	8.91	-8.41	2.41	-44.25	-21.86	-23.03	8.26	-29.39	-6.21	-24.76	2.44	-28.26	-9.31	-27.98
to		to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
2.4	28.66	56.52	31.63	4.98	22.78	61.69	59.28	14.62	29.10	66.07	33.23	3.71	8.24	36.85	9.56	

Characters	Kernel Elongation Ratio				Alkali Spread Value				Amylose Content			
	Mean	BP	BPT 5204	Arize 6444	Mean	BP	BPT 5204	Arize 6444	Mean	BP	BPT 5204	Arize 6444
IR 79156A x IET 21519	1.45	-27.69**	-22.14*	-22.83*	6.33	-5.00	72.73**	0.00	22.67	15.25**	11.48**	6.25
IR 79156A x IET 22218	1.35	-17.31	-27.50*	-28.14**	6.67	0.00	81.82**	5.26	21.00	0.00	3.28	-1.56
IR 79156A x IET 22228	1.37	-14.02	-26.61*	-27.26*	4.33	-35.00**	18.18	-31.58**	23.00	6.15	13.11**	7.81*
IR 79156A x IET 22202	1.48	-6.90	-20.54	-21.24*	5.33	-27.27**	45.45**	-15.79	25.00	27.12**	22.95**	17.19**
IR 79156A x IET 20524	1.91	20.08	2.50	1.59	3.33	-50.00**	-9.09	-47.37**	24.67	10.45**	21.31**	15.63**
IR 79156A x IET 21542	1.45	-9.00	-22.32*	-23.01*	4.67	-30.00**	27.27	-26.32**	26.33	21.54**	29.51**	23.44**
IR 79156A x Vardhan	1.97	15.91	5.36	4.42	3.67	-45.00**	0.00	-42.11**	20.00	-4.76	-1.64	-6.25
IR 79156A x Akshaya Dhan	1.86	6.90	-0.36	-1.24	5.67	-15.00	54.55**	-10.53	19.00	-10.94**	-6.56	-10.94**
IR 79156A x Rajendra Kasturi	1.80	-0.55	-3.75	-4.60	6.33	-5.00	72.73**	0.00	26.00	32.20**	27.87**	21.88**
IR 79156A x Sarjoo- 52	1.86	16.95	-0.18	-1.06	5.33	-20.00*	45.45**	-15.79	23.33	4.48	14.75**	9.38**
IR 79156A x HUR-8-1	2.51	15.51	34.29**	33.10**	2.33	-65.00**	-36.36*	-63.16**	21.00	6.78	3.28	-1.56
IR 79156A x BPT 5204	2.16	30.38*	15.71	14.69	5.67	-15.00	54.55**	-10.53	25.00	22.95**	22.95**	17.19**
IR 79156A x RPBIO-226	1.90	17.01	1.96	1.06	3.33	-50.00**	-9.09	-47.37**	26.00	32.20**	27.87**	21.88**
IR 79156A x Type-3	1.74	-2.06	-6.61	-7.43	5.33	-20.00*	45.45**	-15.79	26.33	21.54**	29.51**	23.44**
IR 79156A x MTU-7029	1.79	-2.71	-3.93	-4.78	4.67	-30.00**	27.27	-26.32**	26.00	18.18**	27.87**	21.88**
IR 79156A x Danteswari	1.92	12.50	2.86	1.95	5.00	-25.00**	36.36*	-21.05*	25.00	27.12**	22.95**	17.19**
Pusa 6A x IET 21519	1.48	-26.37**	-20.71	-21.42*	6.67	0.00	81.82**	5.26	21.00	-1.56	3.28	-1.56
Pusa 6A x IET 22218	1.44	-19.10	-22.86*	-23.54*	4.67	0.00	27.27	-26.32**	19.67	-7.81*	-3.28	-7.81*
Pusa 6A x IET 22228	1.58	-11.42	-15.54	-16.28	7.00	31.25**	90.91**	10.53	21.67	0.00	6.56	1.56
Pusa 6A x IET 22202	1.70	4.49	-8.93	-9.73	6.33	-13.64	72.73**	0.00	22.00	3.13	8.20*	3.13
Pusa 6A x IET 20524	2.02	13.67	8.39	7.43	4.33	-7.14	18.18	-31.58**	25.00	11.94**	22.95**	17.19**
Pusa 6A x IET 21542	1.45	-18.54	-22.32*	-23.01*	3.00	-35.71**	-18.18	-52.63**	23.00	6.15	13.11**	7.81*
Pusa 6A x Vardhan	1.37	-23.03*	-26.61*	-27.26*	3.67	-31.25**	0.00	-42.11**	22.67	6.25	11.48**	6.25
Pusa 6A x Akshaya Dhan	1.72	-3.37	-7.86	-8.67	4.67	0.00	27.27	-26.32**	20.33	-4.69	0.00	-4.69
Pusa 6A x Rajendra Kasturi	1.36	-24.91*	-27.32*	-27.96*	5.67	6.25	54.55**	-10.53	20.00	-6.25	-1.64	-6.25
Pusa 6A x Sarjoo- 52	1.62	-8.80	-13.04	-13.81	6.67	17.65	81.82**	5.26	22.33	0.00	9.84**	4.69
Pusa 6A x HUR-8-1	1.45	-33.33**	-22.50*	-23.19*	4.33	-7.14	18.18	-31.58**	26.33	23.44**	29.51**	23.44**
Pusa 6A x BPT 5204	1.86	4.31	-0.54	-1.42	4.33	-7.14	18.18	-31.58**	25.67	20.31**	26.23**	20.31**
Pusa 6A x RPBIO-226	1.99	11.80	6.61	5.66	3.67	-21.43	0.00	-42.11**	22.00	3.13	8.20*	3.13
Pusa 6A x Type-3	2.25	26.22*	20.36	19.29	5.33	14.29	45.45**	-15.79	25.33	16.92**	24.59**	18.75**
Pusa 6A x MTU-7029	2.00	8.68	7.32	6.37	5.00	7.14	36.36*	-21.05*	27.00	22.73**	32.79**	26.56**
Pusa 6A x Danteswari	1.98	11.24	6.07	5.13	4.33	-7.14	18.18	-31.58**	21.67	1.56	6.56	1.56
Mean	1.75	-1.32	-6.58	-7.41	4.93	-15.35	34.37	-22.20	23.32	10.17	14.65	12.40
S.E.	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Range	1.35	-33.33	-27.50	-28.14	2.33	-65	-36.36	-63.16	19	-10.94	-6.56	-10.94
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
2.51	30.38	34.29	33.10	33.10	7	31.25	90.91	10.53	27	32.20	32.79	26.56

programme at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh.

REFERENCES

- Adilakshmi D and Reddy PR 2011. Heterosis and inbreeding depression for grain quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Research on Crops* 12(2): 489-492.
- Binodh AK, Kalaiyarasi R Thiyagarajan K and Manonmani S 2006. Physiochemical cooking quality characteristics of promising varieties and hybrids in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian J. Genet.* 66(2): 107-112.
- Bhati PK, Singh SK Sharma Amita and Dhurai SY 2014. Identification of restorers and maintainers for different 'WA' CMS lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Res. Environ. Life Sci.* 7(1): 53-56.
- Eradasappa E, Ganapathy KN Satish RG Shanthala J and Nadarajan N 2007. Heterosis studies for yield and yield components using CMS lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Crop Research (Hisar)* 34(1/3): 152-155.
- Fonseca S and Patterson FL 1968. Hybrid vigor in a seven-parent diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Crop Sci.* 8: 85-88.
- Krishna L, Raju Ch D Raju CH Surender Vanisree Raddy P Narsimha and Readdy BB 2011 Heterosis for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Madras Agric. J.* 98(4-6): 109-112.
- Kumar MR, chetan Devaraju and Prasad SR 2012. Characterization of rice hybrids and their parental lines based on morphological traits. *Oryza* 49(4): 302-304.
- Panase VG and Sukhatme PV 1967. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, 2nd Ed., Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Saravanan K, Sabesan T and Kumar ST 2008. Heterosis for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Advances in Plant Sci.* 21(1):119-121.
- Sharma RN and Roy A 1996. Studies on heterosis for grain yield and components in rice. *Oryza* 33: 282-285.
- Sharma SK, Singh SK Nandan R Sharma Amita Kumar Ravinder Kumar V Singh MK 2013 Estimation of heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Mol. Plant Breed.* 29(4): 238-246.
- Singh SK and Haque MF 1999. Heterosis for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian J. Genet.* 59(2): 337-238.
- Singh SK, Sahu Vikash Amita Sharma and Pradeep Kumar Bhati 2013. Heterosis for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Bioinfolet* 10(2B): 752-761.
- Singh SK, Bhati PK Sharma Amita and Vikas Sahu 2015. Super hybrid rice in China and India: current status and future prospects. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 17(2): 221-232.
- USDA, *Rice Outlook* (2014)
- Vaithiyalingan M and Nadarajan N 2010. Heterosis for yield and yield contributing characters in inter sub-specific crosses of rice. *Elect. J. of Plant Breed.* 1(3): 305-310.